



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LM

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/985,730	11/06/2001	Peysson Yannick	612.40801X00	4220
20457	7590	03/05/2004	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				2856

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/985,730	YANNICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert R. Raevis	2856

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 11-46.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

10. Other: _____

Continuation Sheet

As to Applicant's REMARKS, consider the following:

Please resubmit the proposed amendment to the written specification, as the Undersigned could not determine where those proposed changes effected the written specification. Please note that the amendment suggested amending claim 20, when almost certainly he wished to amend the written specification in some fashion. If Applicant resubmits the amendment, please use page and line numbers so that the Undersigned may determine where the amendment is wished to be placed.

Claims 11-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hussan et al .

Regarding claims 1, 13, 23, 26, 31, 38, 14, 27, 32, 39, 15, 33, 40, 16 and 41; Hussan teaches a method to determine temperature equations (Equations 5 and 6) of a thermal profile of a drilling fluid circulating in a well during drilling, comprising the steps of: determining an equation (equation 5) indicative of thermal profile of fluid in tubing of a drill string in a well, and an equation (equation 6) of a thermal profile of drilling fluid in an annulus that surrounds the string, using a heat propagation equation (equation 1) for a thermal profile of a medium (note the use of "formation temperature" on p. 134, left hand col, third paragraph); and measuring temperature of the fluid at the "inlet" (Figure

11) and various well depths (Figure 12) for comparison of field data with the model. The equations generally agree with the field data to a reasonable extent, and thus the expressions meet the temperature boundary conditions.

As to claim 12, 22, 29, 35, 29, 35, 25, 36, 30, 37, see Figures 11, 12.

As to claims 21, 24, 34, 28, see Figure 10.

Claims 42-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Husan.

As to claims 42-46, it would have been obvious to apply Husan's invention to a vertical offshore well because the extension to off shore drilling is a natural extension from on shore profile study.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevs whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 2856

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rawls

R A E N I S